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Abstract
In the frame of GRC4, part of Cleansky's Green Rotorcraft ITD (Integrated Technology Demonstrator), Airbus Helicopters has lead the development of a flying Demonstrator based on H120 serial helicopter and fitted with a brand new designed High Compression Engine (HCE = Reciprocating engine using Kerosene). For this Research project, Airbus Helicopters teamed with Partners selected via a Call for Proposal, successful in February 2011, and organized in the Consortium HIPE 440, made of TEOS Powertrain Engineering, France, and AustroEngine GmbH, Austria.

In the powerclass related to H120 engines (300 to 400kW), the main advantages of HCE compared to turboshift are:
- Lower Specific Fuel Consumption (minimum 30%, up to 50% depending on mission),
- Lower CO2 emission at same level as Specific Fuel Consumption reduction,
- Higher performance in hot/high conditions thanks to the superchargers. Power is kept constant up to higher altitude and/or higher ambient temperature,
- Lower operating cost (fuel, maintenance and overhaul).

The only drawback is the additional mass. Therefore the installed engine mass-to-power ratio has to remain below 0.8kg/kW in order to reach similar or better performance than H/C equipped with turboshift.

After engine tests started in March 2013 – less than 1.5 year after the design start – the Ground tests have been completed in March 2015, and Flight tests are scheduled for H2/2015.

This paper will explain shortly why Airbus Helicopters needed a brand new engine for this Demonstrator and give an overview of the achievements until Ground tests: power output, fuel consumption, engine mass-to-power ratio, rotor speed control, cooling system, torque oscillations and engine movements.

1. SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS
ACARE Advisory Council for Aeronautics Research in Europe
AH Airbus Helicopters
CSJU CleanSky Joint-Undertaking
FADEC Full Authority Digital Engine Control
FP Framework Programme
GAM Grant Agreement for Members
GRC Green RotorCraft
HCE High Compression Engine
HIPE High Performance diesel Engine
ITD Integrated Technology Demonstrator
IP Intellectual Property
Kg kilogram
kW kilowatt
MGB Main Gear Box
Nm Newton-meter
Nr Rotor speed
Rpm Revolution per minute
SEL Single Engine Light
SFC Specific Fuel Consumption
TBO Time Between Overhaul
TE Technology Evaluator
TRL Technology Readiness Level
Wrt With reference to

2. INTRODUCTION
2.1. Project Overview
In the frame of GRC4, part of Cleansky's Green Rotorcraft ITD (Integrated Technology Demonstrator), Airbus Helicopters has lead the development of a flying Demonstrator based on H120 serial helicopter and fitted with a brand new designed High Compression Engine (HCE = Reciprocating engine using Kerosene). For this Research project, Airbus Helicopters teamed with Partners selected via a Call for Proposal, successful in February 2011, and organized in the Consortium HIPE 440, made of TEOS Powertrain Engineering, France, and AustroEngine GmbH, Austria.

The Cleansky environmental targets, in line with ACARE 2020, are to reduce Specific Fuel Consumption (SFC) by 30%, CO2 emission by 40% and NOx by 53%. These targets shall be achieved via improvements both on the Aircraft and the Engine.
In the frame of GRC4, the Aircraft remained the same (serial H120, modified only to comply with the new engine) whereas the Engine was completely new. Furthermore, because of measurement limitations, only SFC and CO2 improvements could be evaluated.

2.2. Testing logic

Because of the innovations brought by this new designed HCE (based on technologies known and largely used in the automotive industry, but much less in the aeronautical world), a 3-steps testing approach was used in order to reach the Demonstrator Flight tests.

First step consisted of tests on engine bench. They started in March 2013, less than 1.5 year after the design start of this new engine. The ultimate goal of this test is to run an endurance cycle required for the engine flightworthiness.

Second step was completed on Iron bird from November 2013 to mid-February 2014 at Airbus Helicopters in Marignane, France.

The objective of the Iron bird was to validate following technical challenges related to the installation of this new powerplant:

- Damp piston engine torque oscillations and engine vibration,
- Cool engine during Hover,
- Master clutching sequence,
- Control rotor speed (low engine inertia vs high rotor inertia).

Third step is planned with the flying Demonstrator also at AH Marignane. The ground tests were completed in March 2015, the Flight tests are scheduled in H2 2015. They will validate the installation of the HCE up to Technology Readiness Level 6 (TRL 6).

An additional step within Cleansky GRC ITD is brought by GRC7 and the Technology Evaluator giving us, based on European models, the helicopter performance comparison between Single Engine Light Helicopters powered either by turboshaft or by High Compression Engines.

3. NEED FOR A BRAND NEW ENGINE

In the powerclass related to H120 engines (300 to 400kW), the main advantages of HCE compared to turboshaft are:

- Lower Specific Fuel Consumption (minimum 30%, up to 50% depending on mission),
- Lower CO2 emission in the same ratio than Specific Fuel Consumption reduction,
- Higher performance in hot/high conditions thanks to the superchargers. Power is kept constant up to higher altitude and/or higher ambient temperature,
- Lower operating cost (fuel, maintenance and overhaul).

The only drawback is the additional mass.

In order to limit the mass penalty and reach a good level of Helicopter performance, AH required a complete installed Powerpack (including the Core engine and all necessary accessories such as cooling system, FADEC, clutch….) with a mass-to-power ratio of less than 0.8kg/kW. You can see on Figure 1 a benchmark including existing aeronautical and automotive piston engines, racing piston engines and the H120 turboshaft. The goal is to achieve a mass-to-power ratio not exceeding twice the turboshaft one but halved compared to existing piston engines.

Finally, in order to reach a reliability level comparable to other aeronautical reciprocating engines (TBO around 2000h), the main technologies applied on the engine design come from advanced racing self-ignition automotive engines (see description here below) used at lower specific power.

The specifically developed Core engine has following key characteristics:

- 8 cylinders in V, 4.6L capacity, 90° angle
- Fueled with Kerosene (Jet-A)
- Fully machined aluminium blocks (cylinder head, crankcase, timing drive casing…)
- Fully machined titanium conrod
- Steel pistons and liners
- Common rail direct injection (1800bar)
- Supercharged (1 turbo per cylinder bank)
- Liquid cooled
- FADEC controlled
- Starter and generator
4. DEMONSTRATOR ACHIEVEMENTS

4.1. Powerpack results

4.1.1. Test schedule

The Powerpack design was started from scratch in June 2011 by the two Partners TEOS Powertrain Engineering and AustroEngine.

Following the design phase, the testing phase started in March 2013 with the first engine rotation on engine bench. This first step consisted of calibration and debug activities until May 2014. During this step, we experienced some teething issues on the engine, normal for a brand new engine, delaying the calibration work.

Once the calibration work was finished, a first endurance was completed in September 2014 (Core engine configuration only, see §4.1.3). A second endurance (Powerpack configuration, see §4.1.3) ran then until February 2015.

The final endurance (also in Powerpack configuration) enabling the engine airworthiness was completed in June 2015.

4.1.2. Power output

The engine was calibrated on engine bench up to 330kW, but limited to 310kW to be compatible with existing H120 MGB.

On Figure 2 you can see this power output applied during a test run on the Iron bird.

For information: 500Nm @ 6000rpm ≈ 315kW

4.1.3. Engine Mass-to-Power ratio

As already explained in §3, the maximum allowed mass-to-power ratio of the installed engine for a helicopter use is 0.8kg/kW. This is a tough task for the engine manufacturer as engine reliability and recurring cost cannot be compromised.
The developed engine, called Powerpack, is composed of:

- A Core engine as described in §3,
- A Multiplier which is a gearbox enabling to have the right rotational speed at MGB inlet and includes a clutch necessary to start the engine,
- A cooling system necessary to evacuate the heat released by the piston engine (roughly 15 times higher than a turboshaft, enabling the better efficiency of piston engines, see Figure 3) and requiring a fan in order to suck enough air through the heat exchangers (oil, water and air) in all operating conditions especially hover.

The total mass of the Core engine is 197kg. Including the Multiplier and the Cooling system, the final mass-to-power ratio of the complete Powerpack is reaching the 0.8kg/kW target.

### 4.1.4. Fuel consumption

The main goal of the project is to reduce fuel consumption by 30% minimum and up to 50% depending on duty cycle, compared to the equivalent turboshaft.

Figure 4 presents the specific fuel consumption values, measured on engine test bench. One can see that at 310kW, the SFC is reduced by 32%, and up to 52% at 100kW.

These values show that the objective is completely reached.

An additional 4 to 8% SFC reduction could be achieved by improving the engine calibration, the injection system and/or the combustion chamber design. These optimizations were not implemented within the demonstrator phase.

A separate study made by the Cleansky Technology Evaluator (with the support of GRC7) has shown the benefit of HCE on Single Engine Light Helicopter on a dedicated duty cycle. The results are presented on Figure 5. They are showing a potential gain of CO₂ emission (proportional to fuel consumption) up to 68 % per km!

![Figure 3: Heat release comparison](image)

![Figure 4: SFC comparison between HCE and turboshaft](image)
4.2. Helicopter results

4.2.1. Test schedule

Following the engine bench tests, it was necessary to lower the risks associated to the engine installation on helicopter, before going to the Demonstrator with Flight test crew.

The Iron bird campaign took place at Airbus Helicopters premises in Marignane from November 2013 to February 2014, see Figure 6.

The main technical topics addressed during this test campaign were the following:
- Rotor speed control,
- Cooling system sizing,
- Clutch sequence,
- Engine vibration and movements,
- Torque oscillations reduction,

The results of the Iron bird campaign were very positive and allowed us to pursue towards the Flight Demonstrator. Some of these results will be presented in the next paragraphs.

After preparation of the Demonstrator (using the experience from the Iron bird airframe) and preliminary endurance tests of the Powerpack, the Ground tests started on February 10th 2015 to end March 30th 2015.
On Figure 7, you can see a picture of the Demonstrator during a Ground run.

Figure 7: H120 HCE Demonstrator during Ground run

These Ground runs done by the Flight test crew enabled us to validate the avionics and all the procedures associated to this new installation. And of course, the Iron bird results were confirmed.

Following the successful Ground runs, the Flight tests are now scheduled for H2/2015.

4.2.2. Rotor speed (Nr) control

The Rotor speed control is done without anticipator. During the Ground run, the test crew found the Nr control too slow during collective pitch increase (and decrease). Therefore an improvement was done during between 2 Ground runs on the Nr control parameters. The results before/after are presented on Figure 8. Orange lines are before the change, blue lines give the Nr behavior after change.

Figure 8: Nr control optimization

The change of parameters has to be done carefully because stability margins of the Nr control have to be maintained. This last set of parameters was satisfactory and will be tested in flight.

4.2.3. Cooling system

In order to lighten the Powerpack as much as possible, the first design of cooling system was using “tubular” heat exchangers as they are the lightest technology available. Unfortunately vibration level on the heat exchangers (especially for oil and water) was too high and we experienced cracks and leakage during Iron bird campaign.

We decided then to change from “tubular” to “compact” heat exchangers, more robust and compatible with vibration level, however slightly heavier, see Figure 9.

This solution was successfully tested on engine bench tests and on helicopter Ground runs.

Figure 9: Heat exchangers technology differences

4.2.4. Torque oscillations

In order to limit the work and impact on already certified H120 systems, it was decided from the beginning of the project to use the serial H120 MGB and rotor. Therefore it was required to respect a given torque oscillations limit. And due to the combustion principle of HCE, torque oscillations at crankshaft output are much higher than this limit, see Figure 10 (+/-100 %).

The solution implemented to reduce the torque oscillations is a torsional shaft located between crankshaft output and MGB inlet. This solution is very light and reliable compared to well-known double fly-wheel system used in the automotive industry.
The final torque oscillation at MGB inlet is shown in Figure 11, during Ground test campaign at maximum power. One can see that the MGB limit is perfectly respected, taking the measurement noise away.

4.2.5. Engine movements

Because of the different installation of HCE compared to turboshaft and the use of a High Speed Shaft (link between MGB and engine enabling torque transmission), MGB and engine are moving independently from each other. Furthermore the engine and airframe vibrations need to be segregated (from rotor to the engine and vice-versa).

Therefore silent blocs are implemented in engine supports to damp vibrations as well as reduce engine relative movements.

You will find on Figure 12 the engine movements measured during Iron bird. These movements are fully compatible with the requirements of both the airframe (vibration level) and the High Speed Shaft fatigue.

5. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

As you can see on the previous paragraphs, the results obtained so far are very positive and encouraging. They are validating most of the technical choices made since the beginning of the project.

They would need to be confirmed during the Flight tests campaign which will take place in the second half of 2015.

Assuming a success for this campaign, Airbus Helicopters has started studies and IP discussions together with its Partners TEOS Powertrain Engineering and AustroEngine about the possible further development and industrialization of this engine for Fixed-Wings and Rotorcraft serial use.
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