

TAIL-SHAKE RISKS ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION BY WIND-TUNNEL TESTS ON AIR-INTAKE INSTALLATION ON A HEAVY-WEIGHT HELICOPTER CONFIGURATION

D. Desvigne, V. Bichon
Airbus Helicopters S.A.S.
Marseille-Provence International Airport, F-13725 Marignane cedex, France

Abstract

In this work, the key role of the upper-deck design including engine installation as a potential source of tail-shake is at focus. The work is based on a Wind-Tunnel Test (WTT) campaign performed at the Airbus Helicopters' Marignane wind-tunnel facilities on a high-fidelity minibody fuselage at scale 1:3.5 representing a generic heavy-helicopter upper deck.

Two different engine intake installations for a Power Unit (PU) have been investigated; in a first configuration, the air intake is implemented at the pylon-fairing trailing edge. The second configuration consists in positioning two air intakes on each side of the pylon fairing, close to the maximum cross-section location.

Different measurement methods to evaluate aerodynamic interactions and wake sources are proposed. They consist in flow-separation assessments from surface oil flow visualizations, time-resolved particle image velocimetry (PIV) measurements, as well as unsteady skin-pressure measurements at the cowlings. Tail-shake related indicators are then proposed. Basically, a configuration which produces strong vortices characterized by a broadband spectral signature is believed to gather all the conditions for tail-shake to emerge.

The flow over the baseline configuration (i.e. without air intake) is first analyzed for various combinations of angle of attack and sideslip, highlighting four different areas of flow separation at the cowlings. The complex flow topology around the upper deck is then assessed, which includes a spectral analysis of the flow unsteadiness in the time-resolved PIV planes. The influence of the air intakes (operating or not) is then evaluated. When located at the pylon-fairing trailing edge, the impact of the operating air intake on the engine-cowlings dynamic pressure and the flow-field topology is spectacular. The air intake is shown to be responsible for the generation of an intense broadband wake interacting with the pylon-fairing lip vortices, which is believed to be a potential severe source of tail-shake. The second air-intake configuration is also not favorable, because it requires enlarging the pylon fairing by 100 mm to integrate ducts from the inlets to the PU, which generates an intense wake similarly to a blunt body. At last, a mitigation mean is proposed for the first configuration. It demonstrates a significant reduction of the wake intensity and broadband signature at the source.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tail-shake is commonly defined as the vibratory response of the overall helicopter structure throughout its elastic eigenmodes to aerodynamic excitations of the tail parts [2]. Tail-shake has historically been identified as strong interactions between the wakes emitted from the main-rotor assembly and/or flow separations over the fuselage, and the impinged areas at the tail unit [14], as illustrated in Figure 1.

The sources of wake vary from one platform to another. Nonetheless, for conventional helicopters, the most frequent influencing factors are the flight conditions, the rotor rotational speed and of course the design of some critical components, e.g. the main rotor hub, the upper deck [11], the rear-ramp angle, the architecture of the bottom parts (skids, cross-tubes or wheels), the implementation of optionals, additional air inlets/outlets, as well as the proximity of the rotor to the fuselage [4].



Figure 1 – Schematic illustration of the tail-shake phenomenon; in blue: wake; in red: impingement areas

The resulting low-frequency vibrations in the cabin often exhibit erratic lateral-or-vertical kicks that must be endured by the crew and passengers [2]. The discomfort caused by those vibrations depends of course on their characteristic frequencies and magnitudes, but also on the vibration direction, the point of contact with the body, and the duration of vibration exposure. The International Standard 2631 (ISO 1974, 1985, 1997) defines exposure limits which are set approximately at half the level considered to be the threshold of pain for healthy human subjects, as illustrated in Figure 2. Vibrations induced by tail-shake typically occur between 5 Hz and 20 Hz, with peak amplitudes greater than 0.1g at the pilot seats [12], thus corresponding to a frequency range where humans are particularly sensitive, and to magnitudes preventing from long daily exposure [6].

Whole-body vibrations can also impair the acquisition of information (e.g. by the eyes), the output of information (e.g. by hand or foot movements) or the complex central processes that relate inputs to outputs (e.g. decision-making) [6]. As an example, in some critical situations, tail-shake vibrations may increase the pilot workload, e.g. the dashboard may become difficult to read. They might also damage onboard equipment. Of course, tail-shake is not the unique source of vibrations in cabin but it may be a major source of discomfort in some particular flight conditions, e.g. in cruise or descent flight. This is why tail-shake has to be prevented.




Figure 2 – ISO 2631 daily exposure limits for human response to whole-body vibrations; Amplitudes in % of the Earth's surface standard gravitational acceleration g ; top: longitudinal/lateral vibrations; bottom: vertical vibrations, from [6]

Tail parts are straightforward components which are involved in the tail-shake phenomenon. Nonetheless, the upper deck may also play a major role for the following reasons:

- The engine cowlings may be the origin of large flow separations especially in high-pitch situations [11]. Large vortices are thus shed and may impinge the tail unit after a long convection with potential non-linear interactions with wakes emitted by other components.
- Pylon fairings are principally aimed at limiting the drag generated by the rotor hub and improving the tail-parts efficiency; the rotor mast, the swashplate, the control rods and the scissors are partially or totally shielded thus avoiding major aerodynamic interactions of those components with the tail unit. But as comprehensively described by Roesch & Vuillet in [12], pylon fairings may also be smartly designed so as to mitigate flow separations at the aft cowlings, and tail-shake risks as a side effect. Indeed, thanks to the protruding lips at the pylon-fairing sides — as implemented on almost all the range of Airbus Helicopters products — the airstream approaching the pylon deck is deflected upwards and escapes laterally around the lips into tip vortices. These vortices exert a suction effect on the air flowing past the side of the pylon fairing. The pair of vortices also produces a suction effect on the wake

which follows the aft portion of the pylon fairing [12]. In addition, contra-rotating lip vortices tend to counterbalance any lateral deviations of the flow at the aft cowlings. The consequence is usually delayed flow separations along with an increased robustness of the cowlings to a change of pitch or yaw angles regarding flow attachment.

- Several air inlets are usually implemented on helicopter upper deck; those are of course the intakes of engines or power units (PU) but also inlets ensuring cooling functions such as oil cooling, air cooling in cabin etc. Depending on their locations, shapes and mass flows, they may alter the favorable aerodynamic behavior of the pylon fairing regarding tail-shake, and/or induce flow separations at the cowlings. Installation losses, reingestion and performance aspects remain obviously the key drivers in the implementation of air intakes, but the aforementioned elements also invite to consider the air-intake locations with care regarding tail-shake.

Inflight rotor/airframe/flow interactions at the origin of the tail-shake phenomenon remain particularly challenging to predict with confidence before maiden flights of a new helicopter. Historically, redesign loops relying on Wind-Tunnel Test campaigns had to be conducted during prototyping phases, as reported for SA365N [12], Tiger [1], NH90 [5][7] or H135 [8], because of the lack of highly accurate prediction methods for tail-shake during the pre-design phase. Late redesign loops usually entail additional development costs along with an increased time to market that could jeopardize the profitability of a new development. That's why they have to be avoided. As a consequence, and despite some representativeness limitations (missing rotors, unreliable dynamic response of the airframe), wind-tunnel tests still play an important role in the tail-shake derisking process. Indeed, they allow:

- ✓ identifying critical flight configurations which present a potential tail-shake hazard;
- ✓ drawing the general trends and define suitable airframe design modifications, by ranking numerous shapes at a reasonable cost based on the evaluation of unsteady characteristics of the flow;
- ✓ producing databases to mature & improve numerical models, which become more and more promising [8].

In this work, the role of the upper deck — including the air intakes installed on it — as a potential source of tail-shake is investigated. The study relies on a Wind-Tunnel Test (WTT) campaign as part of a more general development logic regarding tail-shake derisking. The tests have been performed on a mock-up representative of the upper deck of a heavy-weight class helicopter. As the tail-shake risks are characterized at the source, tail parts of mock-ups have been discarded.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

2.1. Marignane Wind Tunnel

The WTT campaign has been conducted at the Airbus Helicopters' wind-tunnel facilities located in Marignane, France. The Marignane Wind Tunnel (WT) is an open return tunnel with a test section of dimension 3 m (diameter) x 3 m (length). The maximum flow velocity in the test section is 40 m/s under atmospheric conditions. The turbulence rate is approx. 3%.

2.2. Tested parts

2.2.1. Fuselage

An unpowered mock-up representing a generic heavy helicopter fuselage has been considered. The model has been downscaled to scale 1:3.5. The large scale has been mandatory to prevent from a significant reduction of the Reynolds Number with respect to full scale, as well as to ensure a representative PU air-intake design. The upper-deck representativeness is also mandatory in order to feed the aft pylon fairing with a high-fidelity inflected incoming flow. No tail-boom neither tail parts are implemented on the model. In addition, in order to isolate the wake generation to evaluate, no rotor head neither lower parts have been considered. The main-engine intakes and exhausts are plugged. The rotor cavity at the pylon fairing remains also always plugged during the whole campaign.

A minibody has been chosen as the most relevant solution to satisfy the aforementioned constraints. It also allows to maintain the blockage coefficient of the bench test below a reasonable value — typically 10%. At last, the minibody is characterized by a high clearance despite the large scale, which allows to explore a wide range of flight conditions, as the angle of attack has been adjustable between -12° and $+12^\circ$. The minibody geometry roughly consists in considering a half oblate spheroid as a replacement of the nose of the generic heavy-weight helicopter. It ensures a reduction by half of its cell's height, as depicted in Figure 3.




Figure 3 – Generic heavy-helicopter fuselage and associated minibody

Several design iterations have been needed to converge to a clean design that preserves the representativeness of the flow at the upper deck without any spurious perturbations from the redesign of the nose or the reduction of the cell size. At scale 1:3.5, the minibody is 340 cm long and 160 cm high. The mock-up is mounted on a 180 mm diameter mast. The whole test bench is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4 – Overview of the minibody configuration in the Marignane Wind-Tunnel facilities (here with a hub cap)

2.2.2. Air intakes

The main-engine air intakes have been plugged on the mock-up. Three different air-intake implementations to supply an Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) have been tested:

- The baseline pylon-fairing shape with no APU air intake (AI_NONE), as shown in Figure 5;
- Air intake #1, implemented at the pylon-fairing trailing edge (AI_REF), as illustrated in Figure 6. Two NACA inlets have been implemented on the sides so as to improve the efficiency of the intake in advancing conditions;
- Air intake #2, implemented upstream of the pylon-fairing lips (AI_BU) on each side of the pylon fairing, close to its maximum cross-section location. This configuration is depicted in Figure 7. An enlargement of the pylon fairing by 100 mm at scale 1:1 on each side has been required to implement the ducts from the inlets to the APU. This type of implementation is more classical but it has several drawbacks, in particular the increase of the H/C empty weight due to the ducts and the larger pylon fairing, along with less efficient air intakes in hover.




Figure 5 – Baseline pylon-fairing shape with no APU air intake (AI_NONE)




Figure 6 – Air intake #1 (AI_REF) located at the aft portion of the pylon fairing





Figure 7 – Air intake #2 (AI_BU) located on the side of the pylon fairing

2.2.3. Mitigation means

Air intake #1 (AI_REF) has *a priori* a high probability of disturbing the favorable aerodynamic behavior of the pylon fairing regarding tail-shake. This is why two mitigation means are proposed. They consist in separating walls of different length to be mounted at the air-intake mouth along the cowling centerline (“dorsal fin”), as patented in EP3395690 [3]. Those separating walls are aimed at limiting the interactions between the pylon-fairing lip vortices — which must be kept undisturbed as much as possible — and the suction at the APU air intake. The baseline “dorsal fin” (AO1) is 408mm long, with 90mm

inside the air intake. A shortened variant (AO1_xe3) has also been considered, by cutting the long dorsal fin at 45% from the end tip, as illustrated in Figure 8. Those mitigation means present the advantage of being very easy to implement on the cowlings, together with a limited impact on the H/C empty weight. The short dorsal fin mounted on the mock-up is depicted in Figure 9.




Figure 8 – Dorsal fin AO1 before cut; the length limit for the shorter variant AO1_xe3 is delimited by the orange dot line



Figure 9 – Dorsal fin AO1_xe3 i.e. AO1 after cut

2.3. Operating conditions at full scale

In order to cover all the possible cases of interactions at the upper deck, the APU is tested both in on- and off-mode. Indeed, the additional power produced by the APU may not always be necessary all over the flight envelope. As a consequence, the APU may possibly be switched off during the flight — like in cruise at V_y — and the case with no air inflow at the intake has to be considered accordingly.

The campaign has focused on the flight conditions expected to be the most propitious for tail-shake to appear [1][5][7][8][12]. Those flight conditions are reported in the following table. A generic mass flow at the APU air intake is also given.

Flight conditions	U_{FS}	AoA	AoY	Q_{FS}
CRUISE	150 kt	-5° ▶ 5°	-5° ▶ 5°	0 kg/s (off-mode) 2.5 kg/s (on-mode)
APPROACH	80 kt	5° ▶ 15°	-15° ▶ 15°	2.5 kg/s (on-mode)

Table 1 – Typical flight conditions potentially propitious to tail-shake at full scale

2.4. Downscaling the operating conditions

Downscaling the full-scale operating conditions is an important step when preparing the WTT campaign, because the analysis must be portable to the full scale. We propose in this part elements about how the APU mass flow, the scale and the incoming-flow velocity have been selected.

2.4.1. Mass flow at the APU air intake

When the APU operates, for the sake of representativeness, the stream tubes entering the air intake have to be preserved between the full scale and the wind-tunnel reduced scale. This leads to the following equation for rescaling the mass flow Q :

$$\frac{Q_{WT}}{Q_{FS}} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \frac{U_{WT}}{U_{FS}}$$

where U is the incoming-flow velocity and κ is the inverse of the scale ratio ($\kappa = 3.5$ for a downscaled model to the 1:3.5 scale). In the latter equation, the subscript WT stands for the wind-tunnel values, and the subscript FS for the values at full scale.

2.4.2. Reynolds Number

The Reynolds number Re is a key parameter driving the following phenomena associated to tail-shake at the source:

- ✓ development of boundary layers over the structure
- ✓ transition to turbulence in the boundary layers
- ✓ flow separation and associated wake generation

The Reynolds number ratio between the wind-tunnel scale and the full scale is expressed as:

$$\frac{Re_{WT}}{Re_{FS}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{U_{WT}}{U_{FS}}$$

The Reynolds number based on the upper-cowling width is 8.0×10^6 at full scale for the baseline geometry considering a velocity U_{FS} of 80 kt, and 1.5×10^7 for a velocity of 150 kt. For the sake of representativeness, and based on the analogy with blunt bodies such as circular cylinders, the Reynolds number at the wind-tunnel scale should not be downscaled below roughly 3×10^5 , so as to preserve turbulent boundary layers at flow separation points along with the generation of supercritical wakes. Thus, the downscaled Reynolds number based on the upper-cowling width Re_{WT} has to verify:

$$Re_{WT} > 3 \times 10^5 \quad (1)$$

2.4.3. Strouhal Number

Flow separations occurring at the upper deck may be the source of wakes, whose spectral aspects are key regarding tail-shake. As a consequence, frequencies characterizing the wakes in WTT must be rescalable so as to assess tail-shake risks at full scale. This is usually performed assuming a constant Strouhal number St , which is a non-dimensional number describing oscillating flow mechanisms such as vortex shedding. It is defined as:

$$St = \frac{fD}{U}$$

where f is the frequency of the oscillating flow and D a dimension characterizing the body.

For circular cylinders, the characteristic dimension is the diameter. The variations of the Strouhal number — representing the vortex shedding frequency — with respect to the Reynolds number have been widely studied, and is well documented in [1] and [10]. Those variations depend on the roughness of the cylinder surface, as illustrated in Figure 10. The Strouhal number is revealed to show low




Figure 10 – Strouhal number as a function of the Reynolds number for circular cylinders, from [10] and [1]

variations around 0.2, except in the range of Reynolds number between 10^5 and 2×10^6 for smooth cylinders — corresponding to the transition from subcritical to supercritical wakes — in which the Strouhal number may be multiplied by a factor up to 2.25.

In the case of the minibody, the wake characteristics are of course expected to be more complex than for cylinders. Nonetheless, the analogy with circular cylinders may provide indications about the potential representativeness of the tests. Indeed, in order to preserve the representativeness in the frequencies involved in the vortex shedding process of smooth cylinders at comparable Reynolds numbers, the downscaled Reynolds number Re_{WT} has to be above 2×10^6 or below 10^5 . Injecting equation (1) in the latter constraints gives following condition which ensure the WTT spectral representativeness on smooth mock-ups:

$$Re_{WT} > 2 \times 10^6 \quad (2)$$

In approach at 80 kt, the spectral representativeness would be ensured when the scale $1/\kappa$ and the inflow velocity U_{WT} verify:

$$\frac{U_{WT}}{U_{FS}} > \frac{\kappa}{4} \quad (3)$$

In cruise at 150 kt, the condition writes:

$$\frac{U_{WT}}{U_{FS}} > \frac{2\kappa}{15} \quad (4)$$

Cylinder-based representativeness conditions (3) and (4) are ensured at scale 1:3.5 for a WTT inflow velocity of 40 m/s. Once again, this does not completely guarantee the representativeness of the WTT campaign on the minibody model, but it does provide a positive indicator for it.

Assuming the Strouhal number is preserved, frequencies of the oscillating flow at the reduced scale is linked to frequencies at full scale by the following relationship:

$$\frac{f_{WT}}{f_{FS}} = \kappa \frac{U_{WT}}{U_{FS}}$$

As a consequence, the typical tail-shake range of frequency at full scale — namely 5-20 Hz from [12] — becomes at the reduced scale 17-70 Hz and 9-37 Hz respectively for a full-scale true air speed of 80 kt and 150 kt represented by an incoming-flow velocity of 40 m/s in the Wind Tunnel.

2.4.4. Operating conditions at reduced scale

The full-scale operating conditions summarized in Table 1 have been adapted according to conditions (3) and (4) for the WTT campaign. The corresponding flow conditions are reported in Table 2. The range of angle of attack (AoA) and angle of yaw (AoY) have needed to be slightly reduced so as to maintain the blockage coefficient under 10%. In the rest of the study, we principally focus on the two following cases: (AoA, AoY) = (0°, 0°) for cruise and (AoA, AoY) = (10°, 0°) for approach.

Flight conditions	U_{WT}	AoA	AoY	Q_{WT}
CRUISE	40 m/s	-5° ▶ 5°	-5° ▶ 5°	0 g/s (off-mode) 112 g/s (on-mode)
APPROACH	40 m/s	5° ▶ 12°	-12° ▶ 12°	198 g/s (on-mode)

Table 2 – Downscaling to WT scale 1:3.5 of typical flight conditions potentially propitious to tail-shake

2.5. Power-unit mass-flow generation

The aspiration is created with a fan placed at the ground floor and linked to the APU air intake with a plastic hose. A fan of type FPZ SCL K08-TD MOR IE2 has been used. This fan was chosen because it was immediately available for the campaign. The blower is able to create a maximal pressure delta of ± 425 mbar corresponding to a volume flow of 518 m³/h (equivalent in standard conditions of pressure and temperature to 178 g/s). A typical rotational speed value of fan during the WTT is 2250 rpm (235.6 Hz) to ensure a mass flow of 112 g/s in standard atmospheric conditions. The maximal rotational speed of 2940 rpm has been used to synthesize the APU flow in approach, resulting in a massflow of 178 g/s. The deviation of approx. 20 g/s from the desired mass flow in approach has been considered as acceptable for the current purpose.

A Venturi assembly has been used to measure and monitor the mass flow created by the fan suction. An overview of the APU suction installation is proposed in Figure 11. The complex suction system was shown to have a maximum of 2 g/s of variations. Preliminary tests showed limited components at the fan frequency (and harmonics) in the spectral signature at the air intake on pressure & velocity.




Figure 11 – General view of the PU suction installation

2.6. Measurement means

2.6.1. Surface oil flow

A specific liquid mixture has been used to be able to visualize the parietal flow topology in terms of friction lines on the surface of the rear cowlings. The liquid is mainly composed of Kerosene, Olive oil & UV pigments. The components are mixed to form a homogeneous liquid which

is applied on the upper cowlings. The wind speed is then put quickly to the nominal value of 40 m/s. The kerosene drags the pigments along the friction lines and then evaporates. The result is a fixed and clear view of the friction lines when lighted by a UV lamp, as shown in Figure 16. Separated areas appear in black, as the liquid cannot penetrate the separation boundaries. The wind needs to be set for roughly five minutes at nominal speed before the mix dries.

To facilitate result interpretation, equidistant lines are added on both sides of the rear cowlings. These lines are positioned along the longitudinal axis, with a 5 cm distance between 2 lines, as depicted in Figure 13. The first line is positioned at the aft of the pylon fairing.

2.6.2. Particle Imagery Velocimetry (PIV)

A 2D-PIV measurement system has been used to measure the velocity components in the wake generated by the upper deck. The PIV measurement system is based on a laser plane delivered at a pulse frequency of 300 Hz and a high-speed camera with a resolution of a 2560x1600 pixels, generating data at a rate of 3.4 Gb/s. Seven PIV windows positioned perpendicularly to the fuselage centerline have been examined for each configuration and mock-up attitude, as illustrated in Figure 12. At the WT scale, plane family A is located 100 mm downstream of the pylon-fairing trailing edge. The other plane families B, C and D are separated by 143 mm along the longitudinal axis.




Figure 12 – Overview of the seven PIV windows considered during the campaign, for zero angle of attack and zero yaw

In those areas, the instantaneous lateral and vertical velocity components are recorded. Roughly 1500 snapshots (i.e. 5 s of acquisition) have been needed to converge the mean-and-RMS velocity components with a tolerance of 1%. Given the distance between the camera and the laser plane, the effective field of view is of 316 mm wide by 198 mm high. Overviews of the PIV system are provided in Figure 13.

2.6.3. Pressure probes at the cowling skin

Unsteady pressure measurements at the rear-cowling skin have been performed at locations as shown in Figure 14. The pressure transducers are manufactured by Kulite, model XCQ-062, with a ± 1 psi range (differential pressure). Accuracy is roughly 0.1% of the full range, which gives an accuracy of 0.002 psi or 13 Pa. Unsteady pressures are recorded at a sampling frequency of 1600 Hz over a period of 30 s. The complete pressure sensor implementation is depicted in Figure 15. When mitigation means are implemented, overlapped sensors are deactivated.




Figure 13 – General view of the PIV system during acquisition




Figure 14 – Pressure-sensor implementation on the rear cowlings and associated sensor IDs




Figure 15 – Internal side of the rear cowling equipped with pressure sensors


3. RESULTS

3.1. Flow separation and wake topology over the baseline geometry

In this part, only the baseline configuration with no APU air intake is considered. It is aimed at providing a characterization of the flow over the passive reference upper deck.

Figure 16 shows the mean-flow separation topology at the rear cowlings for an angle of attack set to 0° (cruise conditions) and zero sideslip. Four different areas of flow separations are highlighted:

- ✓ A flow-separation zone at the pylon-fairing trailing edge (in magenta);
- ✓ A central flow-separation area at the rear cowlings that goes up to about 30 cm (6th line) upstream of the junction with the tail-boom, characterized by two long lateral branches (in yellow);
- ✓ Two lateral flow-separation zones at the base of the longitudinal ridges on the rear-cowling sides (in red);
- ✓ Two longitudinal separation lines characterized by a strong contraction of the friction lines, due to the two counter-rotating vortices generated at the pylon-fairing lips and convected in a close vicinity of the cowlings (in pink).



Blunt-body separation Rear-central separation
Lip-vortex footprints Lateral separations

Figure 16 – Top: raw friction visualization with surface oil flow; Bottom: schematic flow-separation topology; Configuration AI_NONE, AoA = 0° , AoY = 0° , U = 40 m/s

Figure 17 shows the mean longitudinal vorticity in the different PIV planes for a zero angle of attack and sideslip. The generation of two strong counter-rotating vortices at the pylon-fairing lips as described by [12] is observed, along with the generation of a blunt-body wake at the base of pylon fairing following a pattern composed of 4 counter-rotating vortices. Lip vortices tend to remain rather close to the cowling skin. The rear-central flow separation at the aft cowlings highlighted by the surface oil flow leaves almost no traces on the mean longitudinal vorticity field, which tends to suggest that the wake generated in this area is more transversal.

Intermediate PIV planes reveal that the blunt-body vortices tend to dissipate faster than the lip vortices. The bottom blunt vortices are co-rotating with the lip vortices and a

vortex pairing can be observed in the PIV planes B. The field of mean longitudinal vorticity in the PIV plane D shows that the top blunt vortices generated by the rear of the pylon fairing — which remain rather close to the rear-cowling skin in PIV Planes A — B & C are suddenly deviated in the vertical direction, likely because of a recirculation bubble generated by the rear-central flow separation at the aft cowlings. The global wake topology and vortex trajectories are sketched in Figure 17.




Figure 17 – Mean longitudinal vorticity in the PIV planes and interpreted vortex trajectory; Configuration AI_NONE, AoA = 0°, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

Unsteadiness in the flow may be assessed by computing the root mean square (RMS) velocity field along the lateral and vertical directions from all the PIV snapshots (Figure 18). The lip vortices are shown to be very stable in PIV planes A, with only slight vertical oscillations of the vortex cores. The blunt-body wake generated at the rear of the pylon fairing shows much larger RMS levels. In planes B & C the vortex pairings described above between the lip vortices and the bottom blunt vortices appear clearly. Those interactions tend to significantly increase the lateral unsteadiness of the lip vortices, as it appears in plane D. The rear-central wake is mainly characterized by moderate levels of lateral RMS velocity.




Figure 18 – RMS velocity field in the PIV planes; Configuration AI_NONE, AoA = 0°, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

The spectral signature of the lip-vortex core fluctuations is analyzed in Figure 19. The Linear Spectral Density (LSD) — defined as the square root of the Power Spectral Density (PSD) — of the time signal of the vertical velocity is shown. The PSD have been computed using Hamming windowing




Figure 19 – Left: RMS vertical velocity field in the PIV planes with probes located in the lip-vortex core; Right: Linear spectral density (LSD) of the vertical velocity component in the lip-vortex core in all PIV planes; Configuration AI_NONE, AoA = 0°, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

and the periodogram overlapping technique with an overlap rate of 50%, resulting in a spectral resolution of 1 Hz. Figure 19 reveals low-frequency fluctuations of lip-vortex cores all along their convection, in the range 0-25 Hz. The secondary peaks around 5 Hz and 15 Hz observed in plane A are still present in plane B but tend to be damped in planes C and D, likely because of the vortex pairing with the bottom blunt vortices.

The mean-flow separation topology at the rear cowlings and the upper-deck wake pattern remain qualitatively rather the same for an angle of attack of 10° (approach conditions). The only major difference lies in the rear-central and lateral separation areas, which unsurprisingly extend upstream — by roughly additional 10 cm — as well as the footprints of the lip vortices, which have been laterally spaced out, as shown in Figure 20.




Figure 20 – Locations of the mean flow-separation for AoA = 0° and 10°; Rear-central separation in yellow; Lateral separation in red; Configuration AI_NONE, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

The signals recorded at the skin pressure sensors demonstrate low RMS pressure levels in both cases (AoA = 0° and AoA = 10°), except close to the base of pylon fairing where the RMS pressure notably increases, as illustrated in Figure 21. In addition, those pressure fluctuations are characterized by a broadband spectral signature concentrated in the frequency range 25-75 Hz.

The risk of tail-shake associated with the baseline configuration is consequently believed to be small. The low-frequency components in the spectral signature of the pylon-fairing lips, along with a large separated areas at the

rear cowlings are weak indicators of a potential risk of tail-shake. However, this is compensated by the moderate magnitudes of the unsteadiness in the upper-deck wake observed in the last PIV plane.




Figure 21 – Top: RMS pressure at the skin pressure sensors for AoA = 0° and 10°; the sensor sphere radii are sized and colored by RMS pressure; Bottom: PSD of static pressure at probe #3; Configuration AI_NONE, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

3.2. Influence of non-operating air intakes

The influence of the APU air-intake positioning on the flow separation at the upper deck is highlighted in Figure 22 in off mode, in cruise with a zero angle of attack and sideslip.

The increase in the detached-flow area appears rather clearly when the air intake is located at the pylon-fairing trailing edge. A massive separation area appears along the centerline of the rear cowlings, extending upstream by 10 to 15 cm w.r.t. the case without air intake. In addition, the lip-vortex footprints at the cowling skin are much more pronounced than in the case with no air intake. The impact of the AI_REF air intake is therefore negative in off mode, as its presence tends to be propitious to more wake generation. The second air intake (AI_BU) appears to have much less impact on the topology of the flow separation at the rear cowlings. Indeed, we observe separation areas that are quite comparable to what obtained without an air intake. The only slight difference is the larger flow separation area at the pylon-fairing trailing edge, as a result of the enlargement of the pylon fairing so as to allow the implementation of air ducts to collect fresh air at the pylon-fairing maximal cross-section.

In terms of skin pressure, the largest RMS pressure are obtained at the base of pylon fairing. In off mode, both air intakes generate higher low-frequency components — in the range 0-100 Hz — as it appears in Figure 23. The increase is more important for the AI_REF configuration, originating from disturbances occurring in the inlet cavity.




Figure 22 – Locations of the mean flow-separation for AoA = 0°; Rear-central separation in yellow; Lateral separation in red; Top: Configuration AI_REF; Bottom: Configuration AI_BU; AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s




Figure 23 – Top: RMS pressure at the skin pressure sensors; Bottom: PSD of static pressure at probe #3; AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

An overview of the RMS velocity fields is proposed in Figure 24. An increase in the lateral turbulence intensity at the pylon-fairing trailing edge in the presence of the air intake AI_REF is highlighted. The air intake also seems to generate more lateral-velocity fluctuations along the whole central portion of the cowlings. In the last PIV plane, the topology of the flow observed without an intake (cf. Figure 18) is modified when air intake AI_REF is implemented: a large zone of wake interactions is observed, regrouping the unsteadiness generated by the non-operating air intake, the wake arising from the rear-central flow detachment at the cowlings and the lip vortices. The lateral turbulence index may locally reach approx. 15%.




Figure 24 – RMS velocity field in the PIV planes; Non-operating air intakes, $AoA = 0^\circ$, $AoY = 0^\circ$, $U = 40$ m/s

Regarding the vertical RMS velocity field, the disturbance of the flow at the pylon-fairing trailing edge has little impact.

The RMS lateral-and-vertical velocity fields obtained with the second air inlet AI_BU generally have slightly lower magnitudes than for the air intake at the pylon-fairing trailing edge. However, the fields are more asymmetric (a slight interaction between the left lip vortex and the blunt-body wake may be observed in planes B and D) and the areas of medium intensity — between 5% and 10% — are larger. A spectral analysis of lateral-and-vertical velocity fluctuations in the last PIV plane in areas maximizing the RMS levels shows a frequency signature that is rather evenly distributed in frequency, with no clear peaks or broadband content. The spectral signature of the lip vortices remains roughly the same as in Figure 19, but with slightly increased components in the low-frequency range 0-25 Hz.

The tail-shake risk induced by the non-operating air intakes increases to moderate for the two tested configurations. Indeed, the broadband spectral signature in the wake emission is still observed, with larger levels than for the baseline configuration without air intakes. For air intake #1, the cowlings are massively separated and the largest RMS values in skin pressure and velocity components in the volume are observed. For air intake #2 (AI_BU), the enlargement of the pylon fairing has been revealed to be penalizing, as it produces a larger a more intense wake than with the thinner pylon fairing.

3.3. Influence of operating air intakes

During flight conditions for which additional power is required, the APU may operate and consequently, the air intake collects air to the power unit, creating a suction effect in its vicinity.

When the air intake operates, the flow-separation areas at the upper deck have only slightly increased compared to what is observed in off mode (cf. Figure 22). However, the effect of the suction at the air intake is more significant on the skin-pressure fluctuations, especially for the air intake #1 (AI_REF), as illustrated in Figure 25. It shows that the RMS levels are several times greater than what is observed when the air intake is inoperative and several dozen times greater than when the air intake is absent. The spectral content of pressure fluctuations at probe #3 is also shown. In the case of the operating air intake #1 (AI_REF), a broadband content in the range 10-100 Hz is demonstrated. For operating air intake #2 (AI_BU), the levels are significantly lower, reaching the levels of the non-operating air intake #1. Its frequency signature remains rather broadband type, centered around 25-75 Hz.





Figure 25 – Top: RMS pressure at the skin pressure sensors; the sensor sphere radii are sized and colored by RMS pressure; Bottom: PSD of static pressure at probe #3; PSD in off mode are also reported in dotted lines; $AoY = 0^\circ$, $U = 40$ m/s

An investigation of the pressure fluctuations at the cowlings around the nominal cruise conditions (zero angle of attack and sideslip) shows that the RMS levels tend to slightly increase as a function of the angle of attack (up to the tested value of 12°) for both air-intake designs. The low-frequency components of the spectral signature measured with at probe #3 tends to increase as well, especially for positive incidences. The effect is of course more pronounced for AI_REF. The impact of the yaw angle on the RMS pressure is impressive, particularly for the air intake located at the

pylon-fairing trailing edge. Large to very large RMS levels are observed at almost all the pressure sensors, e.g. as shown in Figure 26 for a yaw angle of $+6^\circ$ (i.e. wind from port side). At the probe located at the base of pylon fairing, the RMS level is very high, several times larger than what was observed for a zero yaw, for which high RMS levels have been already experienced. The broadband spectral signature is also preserved, with very high levels within the range 25-75 Hz.





Figure 26 – Top: RMS pressure at the skin pressure sensors for AI_REF configuration at $AoY = +6^\circ$; the sensor sphere radii are sized and colored by RMS pressure ; Bottom: PSD of static pressure at probe #3 for $AoY = +6^\circ$; PSD in for a $AoY = 0^\circ$ are also reported in dotted lines; $AoA = 0^\circ$, $U = 40$ m/s

An overview of the RMS velocity field is proposed in Figure 27 for both configurations. The air intake located at the pylon-fairing trailing edge is the source of a significant increase in the intensity of the lateral velocity fluctuations, with a large wake zone characterized by levels above typically 20% in the last PIV plane. A comparison to Figure 24 reveals that the activation of the air intake AI_REF tends to dramatically increase spatially the size of the wake zones as well as the intensity of the fluctuations. Activating the second air intake (AI_BU) has a much more moderate effect on the lateral RMS velocity field, if not slightly beneficial. Indeed, the interactions between the left lip vortex and the blunt-body wake — observed when the air intake is inoperative — appears to be reduced.

The vertical velocity field is also significantly impacted by the activation of the air intake #1 (AI_REF). Complex interactions are observed between the lip vortices, the wake of the air intake and the flow separations at the cowlings, which give rise to a vast wake zone characterized by very intense RMS levels, above 20% in the last plane of PIV. Air intake #2 behaves more transparently on the structure of the upper-deck wake. Indeed, a flow topology very comparable to what was observed for the baseline configuration (without air intake) is found. The overall level

of fluctuations is, however, slightly higher at the base of the larger pylon fairing and in the core of the lip vortices because of the thicker airfoil representing the pylon fairing.

A spectral characterization of the velocity fluctuations generated by air intake #1 (AI_REF) is proposed in Figure 28. At the source (PIV plane A), evidence of strong lateral velocity fluctuations in the vicinity of the air intake is shown. The spectral signature exhibits significant levels up to 80 Hz, with a dominant peak around 55 Hz. This peak has been verified not to be correlated to the fan providing suction — which rotates at a frequency of 235 Hz — nor any aliasing effects due the PIV bandlimit of 150 Hz, which is smaller than the fan frequency. In the PIV plane D, the APU wake is characterized by a broadband spectral signature in the range 25-90 Hz. Given the associated high magnitudes, a severe risk of potential tail-shake is anticipated.

The same general trends are found in approach at 10° of angle of attack.




Figure 27 – RMS velocity field in the PIV planes; Operating air intakes ; $AoA = 0^\circ$, $AoY = 0^\circ$, $U = 40$ m/s




Figure 28 – Left: RMS lateral velocity field in the PIV planes with probes located in the APU wake; Right: Linear spectral density (LSD) of the lateral velocity component in APU wake; Configuration AI_REF, operating APU, $AoA = 0^\circ$, $AoY = 0^\circ$, $U = 40$ m/s

3.4. Efficiency of mitigation means

In order to reduce the risk of tail-shake of the operating air intake #1 (AI_REF), two mitigations means have been

proposed, based on patent EP3395690 [3]. The air intake AI_REF has been equipped with a separating wall mounted at the air-intake mouth along the cowling centerline (dorsal fin). The goal is to mitigate the intense lateral-velocity fluctuations appearing at the air-intake mouth, which — combined with their broadband spectral characteristics — constitute a potential severe source of tail-shake. In the rest of the document, the configuration AI_OA1_xe3 stands for the air intake AI_REF equipped with the dorsal fin OA1_xe3.

The effect of the dorsal fin on the flow-separation pattern at the cowling skin is significant, as it appears in Figure 29 for an angle of attack of 0°. Indeed, the large areas of flow separation observed at the rear-center cowling for the baseline configuration — and exacerbated by the operating air intake AI_REF — are completely eliminated. The trace of the lip vortices are also much attenuated. The lateral separations along the cowling ridges are drastically delayed as well.




Figure 29 – Locations of the mean flow-separation for AoA = 0°; Rear-central separation in yellow; Lateral separation in red; Configuration AI_OA1_xe3; Operating air intake; AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

Along with the reduction of the flow-detachment areas, the levels of the RMS skin pressure have also notably decreased, as shown in Figure 30, returning to levels comparable to what obtained when the APU is off (cf. Figure 23).




Figure 30 – RMS pressure at the skin pressure sensors for AI_OA1_xe3 configuration at AoA = 0°; the sensor sphere radii are sized and colored by RMS pressure; AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

Figure 31 shows the influence of dorsal fin on lateral-and-vertical RMS velocity in the different PIV planes. The effect of the dorsal fin on the wake mitigation is spectacular; indeed a reduction of more than 50% in the RMS lateral

velocity is observed in the last PIV plane, which was the expected effect. More precisely, the wake at the air-intake mouth has been revealed to be almost fully suppressed, and the wake observed in PIV plane D is principally the wake of the dorsal fin itself. In addition, the dorsal fin is shown to also play a beneficial role in mitigating the velocity fluctuations in the vertical direction.

In terms of spectral components contained in the wake, the broadband characteristics of the wake generated by the air intake are perfectly mitigated by the dorsal fin, as illustrated in Figure 32, which shows the spectral decomposition of the lateral-velocity fluctuations at a location in plane D which maximize the associated RMS level.




Figure 31 – RMS velocity field in the PIV planes; Configuration AI_OA1_xe3; Operating air intakes; AoA = 0°, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s




Figure 32 – Left: RMS lateral velocity field in the PIV plane D with probes located at the maximum RMS level; Right: Linear spectral density (LSD) of the lateral velocity component in APU wake; Configuration AI_REF and AI_OA1_xe3, operating APU, AoA = 0°, AoY = 0°, U = 40 m/s

The robustness of the benefits from the dorsal fin has been investigated for angle of attack up to 10° and a yaw angle up to 6°. The flow is reportedly kept well attached along the cowlings, except in the vicinity of the tail-boom junction where the rear-central separation slightly increases. The case of yaw of 6° was particularly interesting because it maximized the RMS levels of skin pressure on the cowlings when the air intake AI_REF operated. The critical situation has also been well mitigated by the dorsal fin, as shown in Figure 33.

When the APU does not operate, the dorsal fin has almost no effect on the wake generated at the upper deck.




Figure 33 – RMS pressure at the skin pressure sensors for AI_OA1_xe3 configuration at $\text{AoY} = +6^\circ$; the sensor sphere radii are sized and colored by RMS pressure ; $\text{AoA} = 0^\circ$, $U = 40 \text{ m/s}$

4. CONCLUSIONS

A Wind-Tunnel Test campaign aimed at assessing the role of the upper-deck — including two different air-intake designs installed on it — as a potential source of tail-shake has been performed at Airbus Helicopters' Marignane wind-tunnel facilities. The campaign has been conducted on a mock-up representative of the upper deck of a heavy-weight class helicopter, in the form of a minibody model. A scale 1:3.5 has been considered so as to preserve the representativeness of the flow over the H/C upper deck. Given the large size of the model, the tail-shake risks have been characterized at the source only. The campaign mostly focuses on flight conditions around cruise and approach, for which the typical tail-shake frequency range could be rescaled respectively to 9-37 Hz and 17-70 Hz at the WT scale.

Different measurement methods to evaluate aerodynamic interactions and wake sources are proposed. They consist in flow-separation assessments from skin-friction painting visualizations, time-resolved particle image velocimetry (PIV) measurements in the volume, as well as unsteady pressure measurements at the cowlings. Basically, a configuration which produces strong vortices characterized by a broadband spectral signature is believed to gather all the conditions for tail-shake to emerge.

Based on the analysis carried out in this document, the following major conclusions are drawn:

- ✓ For all the angle of attack and sideslip combinations studied, the flow-separation topology is characterized by four distinct zones for the baseline configuration (i.e. without air intakes), the most critical ones being the blunt-body separation at the pylon-fairing trailing edge and a large flow-separation area appearing at the rear-central part of the cowlings. The analysis based on the PIV measurements of the lateral-and-vertical velocity components indicates a wake pattern composed of well-established lip vortices which are then slightly disturbed by the wake generated by the rear of the pylon fairing. The resulting low-frequency spectral components in the upper-deck wake, along with rather low RMS levels indicate a small risk of tail-shake for the baseline configuration.
- ✓ The presence of an inoperative air intake at the rear of the pylon fairing (air intake #1) causes a significant increase in the flow-detachment area at the aft cowlings, associated with higher skin-pressure RMS

levels, especially in the vicinity of the air intake. In the volume, the wake pattern described for the baseline configuration has been fairly modified: a large area of intense wake interaction is observed, regrouping the disturbances generated by the non-operating air intake, the wake originating from the flow separation at rear-central cowlings and the lip vortices generated by the pylon fairing curved sides, in total interaction. The lateral turbulence index locally reaches approx. 15%. The risk of tail-shake is higher accordingly.

- ✓ The operating air intake #1 has a strong effect on the flow unsteadiness. Large to very large RMS levels are observed at almost all the skin-pressure sensors. The upper-deck wake is characterized by very strong lateral-velocity RMS levels, along with a broadband spectral signature in the range 25-90 Hz. Therefore the risk of tail-shake is believed to be high in this case.
- ✓ A mitigation mean has been investigated in the form of a separating wall (or "dorsal fin") mounted at the mouth of the air intake, so as to reduce the lateral velocity fluctuations at its vicinity. The benefits on the wake mitigation is spectacular: a reduction of more than 50% on the RMS levels of both lateral-and-vertical velocity components are observed, along with the total suppression of the broadband component in the wake spectral signature. The robustness of the benefits with small variations of angle of attack and sideslip has also been verified.
- ✓ A second air-intake design has been evaluated. It consists in two air intakes implemented on each side of the pylon fairing, close to its maximum cross-section location. An enlargement of the pylon fairing by 100 mm (at scale 1:1) on each side has been required to implement the ducts from the inlets to the APU. This type of implementation is more classical but it has several drawbacks, in particular the increase of the H/C empty weight due to the ducts and the larger pylon fairing, along with less efficient air intakes in hover. This air-intake concept appears to be less intrusive on the flow structure at the upper deck. However, the enlargement of the pylon fairing exacerbates the blunt-body separation at the pylon-fairing trailing edge. The flow analysis demonstrates that this concept does not provide significantly better results regarding the tail-shake risk than the air intake implemented at the pylon-fairing trailing edge with the dorsal fin despite notable penalties on weight and hover performance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by CHALLENGE AeRo-thermo-MEchanique (CHARME) Projects, partially funded by Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC). The authors are grateful for the support from Debbie Leusink & Manuel Bucharles.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENTS

The authors confirm that they, and/or their company or organization, hold copyright on all of the original material included in this paper. The authors also confirm that they have obtained permission, from the copyright holder of any third party material included in this paper, to publish it as part of their paper. The authors confirm that they give permission, or have obtained permission from the copyright holder of this paper, for the publication and distribution of

this paper as part of the ERF proceedings or as individual offprints from the proceedings and for inclusion in a freely accessible web-based repository.

ABBREVIATIONS

APU	Auxiliary Power Unit
CHARME	CHallenge AéRoMEchanique
DGAC	Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile
H/C	Helicopter
LSD	Linear Spectral Density
PIV	Particle Image Velocimetry
PSD	Power Spectral Density
PU	Power Unit
RMS	Root Mean Square
Vy	Best rate-of-climb speed
WTT	Wind-Tunnel Tests

SYMBOLS

AoA	Angle of attack	[deg]
AoY	Angle of yaw/Sideslip	[deg]
D	Characteristic dimension	[m]
Q	Mass flow at the APU air intake	[kg/s]
Re	Reynolds number	[-]
St	Strouhal number	[-]
U	Air incoming-flow velocity	[m/s]
κ	Inverse of the WTT scale ratio	[-]

INDICES

FS	Full Scale
WT	Wind Tunnel

REFERENCES

- [1] **Achenbach, E. & Heinecke, E.**, *On Vortex Shedding from Smooth and Rough Cylinders in the Range of Reynolds Numbers 6×10^3 to 5×10^6* , J. of Fluid Mech., Vol 109, p.239-251, 1981.
- [2] **Cassier, A., Weneckers, R., & Pouradier, J.M.**, *Aerodynamic Development of the Tiger Helicopter*, American Helicopter Society, 50th Annual Forum, Washington DC., USA, 1994.
- [3] **Desvigne, D.**, *An aircraft having a static air inlet system with a separator and an antivibration method*, Patent FR3065757, EP3395690, US2018312269, 2018.
- [4] **De Waard, P.G. & Trouvé, M.**, Tail shake vibration – Objective comparisons of aerodynamic configuration in a subjective environment, Nationaal Lucht- en Ruimtevaartlaboratorium, NLR-TP-995505, 1999.
- [5] **Graham, D.R., Sung, D.Y., Young, L.A., Louie, A.W. & Stroub, R.H.**, *Helicopter hub fairing and pylon interference drag*, NASA memorandum 101052, 1989.
- [6] **Griffith, M.J.**, *Chapter 50 – Vibration*, Encyclopaedia of occupational health and safety, 4th edition.
- [7] **Hakkaart, J.F.**, *NH90 Fuselage Model (scale 1:10) LST Wind Tunnel test report (tailshake test 01)*, NLR CR 97368 L, test 5633, 1995.
- [8] **Hermans, C., Hakkaart, J.F. & Hegen, G.H.**, *NH90 powered main rotor model (scale 1:3.881) DNW wind tunnel test report (test 2)*, NLR CR 97170 L, test 961014, 1996.
- [9] **Kampa, K., Enenkl, B., Polz, G., & Roth, G.**, *Aeromechanic Aspects in the Design of the EC135*, Proceedings of the 23rd European Rotorcraft Forum, Dresden, Germany, 1997.
- [10] **Lienhard, J.H.**, *Synopsis of Lift, Drag, and Vortex Frequency Data for Rigid Circular Cylinders*, Washington State University, College of Engineering, Bulletin No. 300, 1966.
- [11] **Roesch, P. & Dequin, A.M.**, *Experimental research on helicopter fuselage and rotor hub wake turbulence*, American Helicopter Society, 39th Annual Forum, Alexandria, Virginia, USA, 1983.
- [12] **Roesch, P. & Vuillet, A.**, *New designs for improved aerodynamic stability on recent Aerospatiale helicopters*, American Helicopter Society, 37th Annual Forum, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 1981.
- [13] **Schäferlein, U., Keßler, M. & Krämer, E.**, *Aeroelastic Simulation of the Tail Shake Phenomenon*, Journal of the American Helicopter Society., Vol. 63 Issue 3, p1-17, 2018.
- [14] **Sheridan, P.F. & Smith, R.P.**, *Interactional Aerodynamics – A new challenge to helicopter technology*, American Helicopter Society, 35th Annual Forum, Washington D.C., USA, 1979.